

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/588,078	08/19/2008	Matthew Richard Downham	DOWN3001/REF	4029	
23364 7550 04/01/2011 BACON & THOMAS, PLLC			EXAMINER		
625 SLATERS	LANE	GUCKER, STEPHEN			
FOURTH FLOOR ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314-1176			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	.,		1649		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/01/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/588,078	DOWNHAM ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
STEPHEN GUCKER	1649		

	STEPHEN GUCKER	1649					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPH 1,39(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MCNTHS from the mealing date of this communication. - IN Operator for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The communication is common to the provision of the communication of the communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earend pattern them adultantly the soft SIX (1) and the communication of the communicati							
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 31 July 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		-					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informat F						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/31/06.	6) Other:	групосног					

1				
US	Patent a	nd Tra	rdemark	Offic
PT	OL-326	Re (Re	v. 08-	06)

Application/Control Number: 10/588,078 Page 2

Art Unit: 1649

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 5 provides for the use of iPrP binding antibody, but, since the claim does not set

forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is

intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active,

positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without

setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process,

i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for

example Ex parte Dunki, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and Clinical Products, Ltd. v. Brenner,

255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention. Claim 2 recites wherein said subject is human, "preferably animate". The claim is

therefore unclear as to if the human subject is moving about, or merely alive.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form

the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application

for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-3 and 6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fishleigh et

al. (US Patent 5,773,572, IDS filed 7/31/06; "Fishleigh"). Fishleigh discloses the same

Art Unit: 1649

sequence that is recited in instant claim 1 (see claims 1-10 of Fishleigh and the sequence listing). The method of Fishleigh uses proteinase K to digest non-infectious prior protein (column 16, lines 43-47), otherwise known as PrP^c. Also, Fishleigh discloses human mammalian subjects, CJD, and kuru (column 1, lines 15-26). The antibody of Fishleigh is labeled (column 17, lines 12-48).

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary sikil in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 1-4 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fishleigh in view of Prusiner et al. (US 6,537,548, IDS filed 7/31/06; "Prusiner"). The teachings of Fishleigh are set forth above. Fishleigh teaches a simple kit (see claim 12), but not does not explicitly disclose a kit with labeled antibodies. Prusiner does disclose detectably labeled anti-PrP antibodies conjugated to radioisotopes, enzymes, and the like (column 8, lines 7-28), which increase the sensitivity of the assay. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill

Application/Control Number: 10/588,078 Page 4

Art Unit: 1649

in the art to take the components of Prusiner and combine them in kit form for ease of use and $\frac{1}{2}$

commercial sale.

No claim is allowed.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Stephen Gucker whose telephone number is 571-272-0883.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 0930 to 1800.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jeffrey Stucker, can be reached at 571-272-0911. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/S. G./

Examiner, Art Unit 1649

Stephen Gucker

March 30, 2011

/Jeffrey Stucker/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1649

Application/Control Number: 10/588,078 Page 5

Art Unit: 1649